Impact: Objectives Grid

Overview

The Threats Grid for Impact displays the impacts of the Objectives or sub-objectives.

By default, the grid shows the objectives' impacts due to the overall Objective.

MANAGE MODELS	IDEN	TIFY/S	TRUCTURE	LIKELIHOOD	OF EVE	IMPACTOF	EVENTS	RISKS	CONTRO	LS	CONTROLLED	O RISKS
Structure Visual Bra	instor	ming	Measure	Synthesize	Reports						C 🖸 🔒	0
	Ŧ	=	Loca	al Global	Simulate	d Results						
Cverall Results	0						Int	elligent Event Lo	Objectives Grid	rground d	Monitoring	g
Objectives Chart									Impacts			
Events Grid			Objectives				Local Globa	Show children of sele				
Bobjectives Grid Sensitivity ∆ Objective	_		- Public I	Relations						Search		
	S		— Los	ss of Company	Reputation		Objective N	ame		/	All Participants	
I Performance			Cu	stomer/Busine	ss Dissatisfa	ction wit	Public Re	lations		9.21%	6	
Gradient			🖕 – Financi	al			Financial			7.22%	6	
• 2D			- Los	s of Custome	rs		Reliability	, Availability, Maintaina	bility	21.7%	6	
Head-to-head			— Fin	ancial Loss			Performa	nce		19.419	%	
Mixed		<	- Fin	ancial Liability	Due to Acci	dent	Human F			10.64	%	
C Sensitivity ∆ Events		Ĵ	Reliabi	lity, Availability	Maintainab	lity	Safety			31.81		
III One at a time				s of Maintena		·	Salety			01.01	,o	
Four at a time				ruption/Dama								
Conters					-							
Consensus View				pair to Service	Line mitasi	ucture						
Inconsistency			- Perforn									
Survey Results				nporary Line (
			- Los	s of Reliability	and Netwo	k Efficie						
			- Los	s of Wider Mo	nitoring Sys	tem Prog						
			Los	s of Train Ser	vice							
			- Human	Factors								
				Obj	ectives Gr	id for the C	verall Sour	ces Impacts				

By selecting an element in the hierarchy other than the top Objective, you can see the results due to the selected element.

		Inte	Iligent Event London Ur Objectives	Gri	d
			for nodes below Pu		Relations
- Objectives	Î	Local Global	Show children of selected node	~	
Public Relations					Search
 Loss of Company Reputation 		Objective Nar	ne		All Participants
Customer/Business Dissatisfaction wit		Loss of Cor	npany Reputation		46.46%
- Financial		Customer/E	Business Dissatisfaction with the .		53.54%
— Loss of Customers					
— Financial Loss					
Financial Liability Due to Accident					
- Reliability, Availability, Maintainability					
 Loss of Maintenance Efficiency 					
 Disruption/Damage to Service Line Inf 					
Repair to Service Line Infrastructure					
- Performance					
— Temporary Line Closure					
 Loss of Reliability and Network Efficie 					
- Loss of Wider Monitoring System Prog	1				
Loss of Train Service					
Objectives Grid	l fo	r the Sources Ir	npact due to "Public Relations"		

The grid above shows the source's impacts due to the selected node "Public Relations".

You can show the local and global source's impacts on the Source Hierarchy at the right using the Local-Global buttons:

韋 🚠 Local Global	Simulated	Results
Objectives	All Part	icipants
Objectives	Local	Global
- Objectives		
Public Relations	9.21%	9.21%
— Loss of Compan	46.46%	4.28%
Customer/Busin	53.54%	4.93%
- Financial	7.22%	7.22%
 Loss of Customers 	22.71%	1.64%
— Financial Loss	21.79%	1.57%
Financial Liabilit	55.5%	4.01%
Reliability, Availabilit	21.7%	21.7%
Loss of Mainten	28.23%	6.13%
Disruption/Dam	36.65%	7.96%
Repair to Servic	35.12%	7.62%
- Performance	19.41%	19.41%
Temporary Line	24.91%	4.83%
Loss of Reliabilit	32%	6,21%

You can also hide the Sources Hierarchy at the left using

Click 🗮 to show the toolbar options (showing and hiding the toolbar is being remembered).



Select Participants and Groups

You can select to display results for one or more participants also groups by clicking



The number designates the number selected/total number of participants/groups.

Clicking the button will open a window listing the participants and groups in the model. Simply check the participants and groups you want to see results.

arch	Participant Name	Email Address 🛛 🍦	Has data?	÷	•	Group name	¢	Has data? 🍦	Select all users with data
	Brian Quigley	quigleybf@gwu.edu	Yes			All Participants		Yes	
	Chief Engineering Officer	ceo@gwu.edu	Yes			C-Level		Yes	
	Chief Executive Officer	che@gwu.edu	Yes			Executives			
	Chief Risk Officer	cro@gwu.edu	Yes			Engineering		Yes	
	Denis Risman	denisrisman@gwu.edu							
	Devin Nagy	devinnagy@gwu.edu							
	Grace	grace@eci.com							
	IT Supervisor	its@gwu.edu	Yes						
	James	james@eci.com							
	John Doe	j.doe@eci.com							
Г	Michael Mankowski	mmankowski@gwu edu Select all Select All Particip	ants And Groups	▼ With	Data	Deselect all			

Advanced Mode Options

When the Advanced mode is ON, you will see the advanced options on this page:

1. Combined Input Option (CIS)

If the Combined Input Option (CIS) is on (see below) then results for individuals are computed by combining the impacts derived from judgments/ratings for which they had roles, with the combined results for any parts of the model where they did not have a role.



2. Apply User Priorities

If priorities (weights) have been specified for participants, you can use the "User Priorities" check box will enable you to apply or ignore these priorities in generating the results.

✓ User priorities

Change Threats Color

You can change the Threats color from the Threats Grid.

Simply click or right-click the source/objective results bar/cell, and then choose a color from the color picker:

ID Event Name All Participants Event Type: Risk 101 Late Train Running 35.48% [01] Late Train Running 35.48% [02] Degradation of Intelligent Monitoring System P 11.17% [05] Line Closure 27.22% [06] Failed Integration with Future Monitoring System. 15.55% [07] Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down 18.55% [08] Major Train Work Accident 17.64% [09] Minor Train Work Accident 14.67% [10] Major Train Public Accident 17.69%			122	Caarab
Event Type: Risk[01]Late Train Running35.48%[02]Degradation of Intelligent Monitoring System P11.17%[05]Line Closure27.22%[06]Failed Integration with Future Monitoring Syste15.55%[07]Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down18.55%[08]Major Train Work Accident17.64%[09]Minor Train Work Accident14.67%				Search
[01]Late Train Running35.48%[02]Degradation of Intelligent Monitoring System P11.17%[05]Line Closure27.22%[06]Failed Integration with Future Monitoring Syste15.55%[07]Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down18.55%[08]Major Train Work Accident17.64%[09]Minor Train Work Accident14.67%		Event Name		All Participants
[02] Degradation of Intelligent Monitoring System P 11.17% [05] Line Closure 27.22% [06] Failed Integration with Future Monitoring Syste 15.55% [07] Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down 18.55% [08] Major Train Work Accident 17.64% [09] Minor Train Work Accident 14.67%	Ev	vent Type: Risk		
[05]Line Closure[06]Failed Integration with Future Monitoring Syste[07]Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down[08]Major Train Work Accident[09]Minor Train Work Accident	[01	1] Late Train Running	35.48%	
[06] Failed Integration with Future Monitoring Syste [07] Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down [08] Major Train Work Accident [09] Minor Train Work Accident	[02	2] Degradation of Intelligent Monitoring System P	. 11.17%	
[07] Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down [08] Major Train Work Accident [09] Minor Train Work Accident	[05	5] Line Closure	27.22%	
[08] Major Train Work Accident 17.64% [09] Minor Train Work Accident 14.67%	[06	6] Failed Integration with Future Monitoring Syste	15.55%	
[09] Minor Train Work Accident	[07	7] Intelligent Event Monitoring Network Shut Down	18.55%	
	[08	8] Major Train Work Accident	17.64%	
[10] Major Train Public Accident	[09	9] Minor Train Work Accident	14.67%	
	[10	0] Major Train Public Accident	17.69%	